

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,653	10/11/2001	Dean Kitchener	476-1978.1	8638
75	90 07/09/2003			
William M. Lee, Jr. Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney & Ohlson Suite 410			EXAMINER	
			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
209 South LaSa Chicago, IL 60		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2021	•

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					n in			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Cation No. Applicant(s)		;)			
Office Action Summary		09/97	5,653	KITCHENE	KITCHENER ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			el C. Wimer	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ Respor	sive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>20 May 200</u>	<u>)2</u> .					
2a)∐ This ac	tion is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
	1-23 is/are pending in the	application						
' ' '		• •	considera	tion				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
I		otion and/or alastic						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)∏ The spec	ification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 May 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowl	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.□ C	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.□ Ce	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disc	person's Patent Drawing Review (P losure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) 🔲	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Pa Notice of Informal Patent Applicat Other:				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	•	Office Action Sum	mary	Part of Paper	No. 9			



Application/Control Number: 09/975,653

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7,9,13,14,16,17,19,20,22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Andrews et al (6317098).

Regarding Claims 1-7,9,13,14,16,17,19,20,22 and 23, Andrews et al show, for example in Fig. 1, a MIMO (2:2) communications system/mobile communications network and method therefor, with an antenna array comprising a plurality of orthogonally polarized transmit antenna elements 11,12 providing beams 13,14 and a plurality of orthogonally polarized receive antenna elements, within line-of-sight thereof, where the former elements are arranged to provide polarization diversity and the elements are arranged to avoid spatial diversity (because the elements are spaced less than a wavelength of the operation frequency by virtue of the elements 11,12 sharing a common phase center, collocated from a single antenna aperture), arranged as claimed. Further regarding Claim 16, the digital network (e.g., CDMA) provides the space-time coded signals claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103



Application/Control Number: 09/975,653

Art Unit: 2821

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8,10-12,15,18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrews et al in view of Runyon et al (6067053).

Andrews et al were discussed above relative the respective claims. Regarding Claims 8,18 and 21, Andrews et al shows a MIMO system. Runyon et al teach a full duplex system where TX and RX are simultaneously employed. However, receiving data is considered a non-MIMO system. Runyon et al suggests that the antenna system may be used in cellular and PCS frequency bands. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ any modulation scheme and band of service in the antenna arrangements of polarization diversity systems, such as those as Andrews et al and Runyon et al.

Regarding Claims 10-12 and 15, Runyon et al show a BFN 16 coupling the signals to the polarization diversity antenna system 12a,b, presented in columns, and producing the polarizations recited in Claims 11 and a two branch input as in Claim 12. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ the antenna system in Andrews et al for providing close-spaced diversity beams.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (703) 305-3555. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.





Application/Control Number: 09/975,653

Art Unit: 2821

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821

MCW 27 June 2003